DECISION

Request for Redress by PC

Heard Jointly With Number(s): 03, 04, 08

Request No.: 10

Event: Jr. Race Week Race Number: 5 Hearing Schedule: 2021-07-13 18:00

**PARTIES AND WITNESSES** 

Request No.: 10: Protest Committee

Laser Radials/Laser Standard - All Lasers Affected by the Congestion in the Launch Area

Request No.: 08: Laser Radial - Green - 186503 - Ryan Treat

Request No.: 04: Laser Radial - Red - 174283 - Tucker Peters

Race Committee

Request No.: 03: Laser Radial - Red fleet - 174283 - Tucker Peters

Race Committee

**VALIDITY** 

Objection to Jury: No

Within Time Limit: Within Time Limit The PC filed the request for redress as soon as it learned of the

error made by the OA.

Incident Identified: Yes

Proper Hail: Hail not required

Red Flag Displayed: Not required

**Decision:** Request Valid

## **PROCEDURAL MATTERS**

- 1. Hearings #3, #4 and #8 were heard together with Hearing #10 in accordance with RRS 63.2 since all hearings arose from the same incident.
- 2. In the hearing for Request #7, the protest committee determined that an error was made by the OA that may have significantly worsened the scores of several Lasers, Laser Radials and Laser 4.7s in the first race of the day 7/13/2021.
- 3. The PC initiated a request for redress and invited all affected parties to a hearing by posting the request for redress, the scheduled time of the hearing and the zoom link for participation on the Official Notice Board (online).
- 4. The PC called Tucker Peters, Laser Radial 174283 to notify him that his request for redress would be heard in the combined hearing.
- 5. The PC called Ryan Treat, Laser Radial 186503 to notify him that his request for redress would be heard in the combined hearing. He did not answer and a voicemail message was left.
- 6. When the hearing commenced at 18:00 on zoom, Tucker Peters, Laser Radial 174283 was the only party present.
- 7. Shortly after the hearing was closed, Ryan Treat, Laser Radial 174283 became aware of the hearing and immediately called the PC. The hearing was reopened immediately in accordance with RRS 63.3(b), because the protest committee decided that 174283 was unavoidably absent at the original hearing.

## **FACTS FOUND**

- 1. An error of the OA caused several Lasers and Laser radials to be late for the start of Race #5
- 2. Laser Radial 174283 and Laser Radial 186503 were among those Lasers and were ready to launch but were unavoidably delayed due to the congestion on the launching dock.
- 3. As soon as they were able to launch Laser Radial 174283 and Laser Radial 186503 did so and sailed

directly to the starting area, but they arrived after the start of Race #5.

Diagram: Diagram not endorsed

## **CONCLUSIONS AND RULES THAT APPLY**

Rules: 62.1(a)

Laser Radial 174283's place in race #5 was made significantly worse through no fault of her own by an

error of the OA.

Laser Radial 186503's place in race #5 was made significantly worse through no fault of her own by an error of the OA.

**Date & Time:** 2021-07-13 18:47 EDT

- 1. Redress is given to Laser Radial 174283. Laser Radial 174283 is to be scored in race #5 points equal to the average, rounded to the nearest tenth of a point (0.05 to be rounded upward), of her points in all races sailed in the series, except race #5. This shall not be worse than her finishing position in the race. No other boat's score shall change.
- 2. Redress is given to Laser Radial 186503. Laser Radial 186503 is to be scored in race #5 points equal to the average, rounded to the nearest tenth of a point (0.05 to be rounded upward), of her points in all races sailed in the series, except race #5. This shall not be worse than her finishing position in the race. No other boat's score shall change.

## PROTEST COMMITTEE

Chaired By: Danielle Lawson (USA)

Committee Members: Mary Savage (USA), Collamore Crocker (USA), Richard York (USA)

Printed: 2021-07-14 00:54