DECISIONRequest No.: 05

Request for Redress

Event: SB20 Asian Grand Slam & Asia Pacific Championship Race Number: 9

Hearing Date: 2020-02-09

PARTIES AND WITNESSES

Request No.: 05: SB20 - Open - SGP 3051 - Katana - Robert Jessing

Race Committee

Witnesses: Jevyn Ong (Initiator's witness)

Interpreters: NIL

VALIDITY

Objection to Jury: Yes No Conflicts of Interest to declare and no objection to any

members of the panel.

Within Time Limit: Beyond with Good Reason Protest time limit was 1712h and the request was lodged

at 1832h. Redress lodged after SGP 3051 received answer from RC through a scoring enquiry first.

Incident Identified: Yes Written request identifies the incident.

Proper Hail: Hail not required

Red Flag Displayed: Not required

DECISION: Request Valid

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

1) Parties have had reasonable time to prepare for the hearing.

FACTS FOUND

- 1) The preparatory signal was U flag for a 2nd attempt to start of Race 9 after the 1st attempt was abandoned.
- 2) In Race 9, 2nd attempt, the wind speed was averaging about 12 knots.
- 3) The distance of the leg to Mark 1 from the starting line was 0.8NM.
- 4) The starting line is defined in the SI to be between the staff displaying an orange flag on the RC signal vessel on the starboard end and the course side of the port end starting buoy.
- 5) Length of the starting line was 230m.
- 6) At one minute to start when U flag was removed, RC signal vessel identified SGP 3051 in the triangle formed by the ends of the starting line and the first mark about 300m upwind of the starting line.
- 7) An RC mark-laying vessel about 50m abeam of the port-end starting mark confirms to the Race Officer (RO) that SGP 3051 was in the triangle.
- 8) SGP 3051 sailing downwind on starboard tack towards the port-end starting mark left the mark to port via the port end extension, gybed and crossed the starting line from the pre-start side.

Diagram: Diagram not endorsed

CONCLUSIONS AND RULES THAT APPLY

SGP 3051 score or place in Race 9 has not been, through no fault of her own made significantly worse. There was no improper action or omission of the RC. Therefore, SGP 3051 is not entitled to redress under RRS 62.1. See also, WS Case 136.

Date & Time: 2020-02-09 19:40 +08

Redress is not given.

(Started: 1900h, Finished: 1940h)

PROTEST COMMITTEE

Chaired By: Neven Baran (CRO)

Committee Members: Joe Chan (SGP) Jerrold Ng (IJ) - SGP [Scribe]

Printed: 2020-02-15 17:12